Week 1 - Discussion Questions

Based on your learning this week, and the information provided about our fictitious company, [Rapid Care, Inc](https://jefferson.instructure.com/courses/49207/pages/rapd-care-inc-profile)., describe three system development methods, and provide a justification as to the optimal system development methodology you would recommend.  Note, this recommendation may change as further needs are explored.

Week 1 Bi-Weekly Exercise

* **Due** Sunday by 11:59pm

***Bi-Weekly Exercise:***

1. Create a business case for [Rapid Care, Inc.](https://jefferson.instructure.com/courses/49207/pages/rapd-care-inc-profile), incorporating the information provided in the project summary. The case should answer the questions at the bottom of page 48 in your text:  Why are we doing this project, what is the project about, etc.?  Based on the project summary provided, fill in any additional details you need…anything not listed explicitly or implicitly in the project summary or exercises is yours to define.
2. Summarize your project, including the triple-constraint knowns and unknowns (time, cost, scope), and include the key stakeholders of the project, as well as other business and technical contributors. Note that we have not yet made a build vs. buy decision!
3. Create the start of a project plan, providing tasks and resources for the activities covered in our first three chapters. You will add to this this as we move forward.

Rubric

**Bi-Weekly Assignment Rubric**

| Bi-Weekly Assignment Rubric | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | | **Ratings** | **Pts** |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeReferences and Citations  Citations and references in APA v7 format Citations are present supporting facts | | **25 to >20.0 ptsFull Marks**Response formed with all facts cited properly, in the correct format.  **20 to >15.0 ptsPartial Citations**25% of citations missing, or formatted incorrectly  **15 to >5.0 ptsPartial Citations**26%-50% citations missing, or formatted incorrectly.  **5 to >0 ptsNo Marks**More than 51% of citations missing or formatted incorrectly. | 25 pts |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeCompleteness of Response | | **25 to >20.0 ptsFull Marks**Response addresses 95% or more of the question or scenario presented.  **20 to >15.0 ptsPartial Crecit**Response addresses 85%-95% of the question or scenario presented.  **15 to >5.0 ptsPartial Citations**Response addresses 70%-85% of the question or scenario presented.  **5 to >0 ptsNo Marks**Response addresses less than 70% of the question or scenario presented. | 25 pts |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeScholarly Tone | | **25 to >20.0 ptsFull Marks**95% or more of the response written in academic tone, clearly and concisely.  **20 to >15.0 ptsPartial Crecit**85%-95% of the response written in academic tone, clearly and concisely.  **15 to >5.0 ptsPartial Citations**70%-85% of the response written in academic tone, clearly and concisely.  **5 to >0 ptsNo Marks**Less than 70% of the response written in academic tone, clearly and concisely. | 25 pts |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeCritical Analysis | **25 to >20.0 ptsFull Marks**Response demonstrates significant synthesis of facts and information into original thoughts and conclusions. Conclusions are articulated clearly, with well-defined support of facts and evidence derived from quality sources.  **20 to >15.0 ptsPartial Crecit**Response demonstrates a moderate degree of synthesis of facts and information into original thoughts and conclusions. Most conclusions are articulated clearly, with well-defined support of facts and evidence derived from quality sources.  **15 to >5.0 ptsPartial Citations**Majority of response is re-packaged facts with a lack of original thoughts or conclusions. Majority of conclusions are not articulated clearly and/or are not well supported with facts and evidence derived from quality sources.  **5 to >0 ptsNo Marks**Response contains minimal or no original thought or conclusions and/or responses are not clearly articulated. References lack the quality required for scholarly citation. | | 25 pts |

Total Points: 100